

# Editing Service Manuscript Quality Checklist

<b>Client Info</b>				
File name		Field		Target Journal
Title				Submission deadline

Section	Item	Require Heavy Revising	Require Moderate Revising	Require Minor Update	Excellent	Not Applicable	Editor's Advice
Abstract	Are all five elements of the research (background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions) included?	✓					missing background
	Are specific numerical values (e.g., sample size, p-value, effect size) included?		✓				no numerical values included, but they may not be necessary in the
	Is the novelty and significance of the research clearly stated?	✓					novelty not mentioned
Introduction and/or Objectives	Is the background and importance of the research topic explained with citations of previous studies?				✓		
	Is the novelty of the research specifically explained?				✓		
	Is the primary objective of the research clearly and specifically described?			✓			
Methods	Are the research hypotheses presented in a testable form?			✓			implied but not clearly stated
	Is the research design (e.g., prospective/retrospective, randomized) clearly stated?	✓					It is not clear if the PRP was administered on day 0 or day 3 after
	Are the inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants specifically described?					✓	
Results	Are the data collection methods and measurement procedures described in sufficient detail for replication?				✓		
	Are the basic attributes of the research participants (e.g., demographic data) clearly presented in tables or text?				✓		
	Are the main results presented with numerical values (e.g., mean, median, percentage)?				✓		
Discussions	Are the results directly related to the hypotheses presented first?				✓		
	Are the main findings discussed in relation to the research objectives and hypotheses?				✓		
	Is the interpretation of the results contextualized by comparison with previous studies?			✓			But probably sufficient for a Note
Conclusions	Are the theoretical and practical implications of the results discussed?			✓			But probably sufficient for a Note
	Are clear conclusions presented that correspond to the research objectives?				✓		
	Do the conclusions remain within the scope of the results (i.e., not over-interpreted)?			✓			I reword it to make it better English.
Limitations	Are the implications for practice, policy, and future research included?					✓	
	Are the limitations of the research design frankly stated?					✓	
References	Are suggestions for future research to address the limitations proposed?				✓		
	Is an appropriate proportion of recent literature (within the last 5 years) included?			✓			Many old studies included in references
Figures & Tables	Are primary sources (original research articles) appropriately cited (i.e., avoiding excessive reliance on review articles)?				✓		
	Do the figures and tables provide independent information that can be understood without referring to the text?				✓		
	Is the visual representation appropriate and does it convey the data without misinterpretation (e.g., appropriate axis scales)?				✓		

**Remarks and advice to the author**

Overall, the article is well written and includes the information required in a Note.